## THE MODEL FOR ASSESSING THE COMPETITIVENESS OF HIGH-TECH ENTERPRISES ON THE BASIS OF THE FORMATION OF KEY COMPETENCES

Viacheslav Makedon, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro (Ukraine).
E-mail: v\_makedon@ukr.net
Olena Kholod, Alfred Nobel University, Dnipro (Ukraine).
E-mail: mediana@duan.edu.ua
Liudmyla Yarmolenko, Alfred Nobel University, Dnipro (Ukraine).
E-mail: yarmolenko.l@duan.edu.ua
DOI: 10.32342/2074-5354-2023-2-59-5

## Keywords: high-tech enterprise, innovation potential, aerospace sector, decomposition approach, organizational competencies, product competitiveness JEL classification: C13, D29, F23

The article proposes a model for assessing the competitiveness of high-tech, innovative and active enterprises in the space industry, taking into account competitive advantages based on the formation of key production competencies. The specifics of the application of dynamic models for the analysis of the competitiveness of innovatively active enterprises were specified: the parameters of the necessary dynamic models that take into account the diffusion of competitiveness indicators based a linear differential system. The article proposes a model for evaluating the dependence of competitive advantages on competencies: the dynamic model is based on equations with a lagging element that reflect the non-linear and time-lag nature of the impact of competencies on innovative technologies that directly affect competitiveness, and allows taking into account different variants of dependence with a time lag. An algorithm for multi-criteria rating evaluation of the effectiveness of using the innovative potential of a high-tech enterprise as a source of organizational and production competencies was developed. The article developed a multi-level hierarchical structure of competitiveness indicators: the application of the method of forming criteria weights based on the production rules of aggregating object evaluations and the method of approximation of the matrix of pairwise comparisons of objects of the multiplicative matrix for estimating the error of the decision obtained by the analytical hierarchy method is substantiated.

The formation of innovative potential indicates the presence of appropriate organizational competencies for its management. The multifactorial nature of the proposed model for evaluating innovation potential allows to assess the contribution of influencing factors to the overall results, while a comparison of factors for different enterprises allows to identify the leader. Thus, the model allows to solve several tasks in the field of competence management: to assess the overall level of development of innovation potential as an integral criterion of competence in the field of innovation and knowledge management; to identify the strengths of the enterprise and the risks associated with the management of innovative potential. The factors included in the model can be used as resources to ensure the achievement of high results, which corresponds to the «resource theory» of enterprise activity. In addition, the proposed model allows to compare objective quantitative indicators of competitors and identify key competencies and their carriers, whose impact on competitiveness is the most critical and important. The result of this stage is the local and global coefficients of the importance of the criteria at each level of the hierarchy. It was proposed to evaluate objects in the original (qualitative, quantitative) scales according to private indicators, their normalization and aggregation of evaluations according to the global criterion is performed by several aggregation mechanisms depending on the initial data, and an integrated approach to the aggregation of evaluations of innovative competencies of high-tech enterprises.

## References

1. Brem A., Viardot E. (2017). Revolution of Innovation Management: The Digital Breakthrough. In Revolution of Innovation Management. Palgrave Macmillan: London, UK.

2. Novak N.G., Orazmuradov A., Shavrieva G.I. (2018). Organizational changes in corporate risk management and its effect on competitiveness of innovative and active enterprises. *Economics. Finances. Law*, 1(2), 24-26.

3. Ross P., & Maynard K. (2021). Towards a 4th industrial revolution. *Intelligent Build-ings International*, 13(3), 159-161. doi:10.1080/17508975.2021.1873625.

4. Kim S., Min S. (2015). Business Model Innovation Performance: When Does Adding a New Business Model Benefit an Incumbent? *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 9(4), 34-57.

5. Ternai K., Török M., Varga K. (2017). Combining Knowledge Management and Business Process Management – A Solution for Information Extraction from Business Process Models Focusing on BPM Challenges; Springer.

6. Avanesova N., Tahajuddin S., Hetman O., Serhiienko Y., Makedon V. (2021). Strategic management in the system model of the corporate enterprise organizational development. *Economics and Finance*, N 1, Vol. 9. 18–30.

7. Wirtz B. W., Göttel V., & Daiser P. (2016). Business Model Innovation: Development, Concept and Future Research Directions. Journal of Business Models, 4(1), 1-28.

8. Porter M. (2001). Competition and Antitrust: A Productivity-Based Approach to Evaluating Mergers and Joint Ventures. *Antitrust Bulletin*, no. 4(46), 919-958.

9. Souto J.E. (2015). Business model innovation and business concept innovation as the context of incremental innovation and radical innovation. *Tourism Management*, no 51, 142-155.

10. Makedon V., Chabanenko A. (2022) Faktorni skladovi tsyfrovizatsiyi hlobal'noyi ekonomiky ta makroekonomichnykh system krayin svitu [Factor components of digitalization of the global economy and macroeconomic systems of countries]. *Efektyvna ekonomika*, [Online], vol. 1, available at: http://www.economy.nayka.com.ua/?op=1&z=9875 DOI: 10.32702/2307-2105-2022.1.11

11. The space report 2022 Q2. Space foundation releases, 2022. URL: https://www.spa-cefoundation.org/2022/07/27/the-space-report-2022-q2/

12. Makedon V.V., Valikov V.P., Ryabyk G.E. (2019). Rozvytok svitovoho rynku dilovykh intelektual'nykh posluh pid vplyvom ekonomiky 4.0 [Development of the world market of business intellectual services under the influence of economy 4.0]. *Nobel Herald*, no. 1, 59-72. DOI: 10.32342/2616-3853-2019-2-12-7

13. Makedon V. V., Mykhaylenko O. H. (2022). Upravlinnya vnutrishnimy investytsiynymy proektamy v rehional'nomu promyslovomu klasteri pidpryyemstv. Pidpryyemnytstvo ta innovatsiyi. [Management of internal investment projects in the regional industrial cluster of enterprises]. Entrepreneurship and innovation, No. (25), 56-63. DOI: https://doi. org/10.32782/2415-3583/25.9

14. Chukhray N.I., Prosovych O.P. (2015). Stratehichne upravlinnya innovatsiynym rozvytkom pidpryyemstva [Strategic management of innovative development of the enterprise.]. L'viv : Vyd-vo L'vivs'ka politekhnika, 500 p.

15. Terek E., Nikolić M., Ćoćkalo D., Božić S., & Nastasić A. (2017). Enter-prise potential, entrepreneurial intentions and envy. *Central european business review*, Vol. 6, 30-41.

16. Start-Up Space. Update on Investment in Commercial Space Ventures. Bryce Space and Technology, 2022. URL: https://brycetech.com/reports/reportdocuments/Bryce\_Start\_Up Space 2022.pdf

17. Van der Poll H.M., & Mthiyane Z. Z. F. (2018). The Interdependence of Risk Management, Corporate Governance and Management Accounting. *Southern African Business Review*, 22(1), 30 pages. doi: https://doi.org/10.25159/1998-8125/4344.

18. Makedon V., Mykhailenko O., Vazov R. (2021). Dominants and Features of Growth of the World Market of Robotics. *European Journal of Management Issues*, 29(3), 133-141. doi:10.15421/192113.

19. Ertz M., & Boily É. (2019). The Rise of the Digital Economy: Thoughts on Blockchain Technology and Cryptocurrencies for the Collaborative Economy. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 3(4), 84-93. doi:10.1016/j.ijis.2019.12.002

Одержано 16.12.2023.